

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,604	4 -12/02/2003		Masahiro Inoue	Q78683	2598	
23373	7590	11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRU			LAI, ANNE VIET NGA			
2100 PENI SUITE 800		IA AVENUĖ, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING		20037	2636			
•				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/724,604	INOUE, MASAHIRO			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anne V. Lai	2636			
	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the				
Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period v ed period for reply will, by statute, nan three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be twill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON and date of this communication, even if timely file	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on <u>04 Au</u>	ugust 2005.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance w	vith the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)	s) is/are withdraw illowed. ected. bjected to.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine	г.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on	<u>02 December 2003</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	· · ·	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o aminer. Note the attached Offic	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of Certified copies of Some * c) 2. Certified copies of Some * c) 2. Some * c) 1. Certified copies of the cert	☐ None of:  of the priority documents  of the priority documents  tified copies of the prior  the International Bureau	s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8		4) 🔲 Interview Summar				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dragon</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s</li> </ol>		Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	7, (1 10-1 <del>143</del> 0) F 10/06/06)	6)  Other:	,			

Art Unit: 2636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, the "second timer" is indefinite because there is no "first timer".

In claim 6, the "second switch control unit" is indefinite because there is no "first switch control unit".

In claim 7, the "third timer" is indefinite because the "second timer" is indefinite.

In claims 8, 9 and 10, the "third power switch" is indefinite because there is no "second power switch".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **O'Tool** [US. 6,130,602] or **Sano** [US.5,682,603].

In claim 1, **O'Tool** discloses an on-vehicle short-range communication apparatus for toll collection (col. 114, lines 5-67) comprising (figs. 3-5): a power switch 36 (wake

Art Unit: 2636

up timer and logic; fig. 5) inserted in a power supply line extending between the battery 18 (figs. 3-4) and the radio /data processing unit (16; figs. 3-5) for power saving purpose (col. 43, lines 10-12; claim 1). **Sano** discloses an on-vehicle short-range communication apparatus for toll collection comprising a power saving switch 14 inserted in a power supply line 12 extending between a vehicle mounted battery and a radio /data processing unit (16, 18) for power saving purpose (figs. 2-3B; col. 5, lines 40-58).

Page 3

- 5. In claims 2, **O'Tool** discloses the power switch is timer-controlled where power is provided for intermittently (periodically) switching the apparatus from a sleep mode to a receiver-on mode (col. 43, line 10 through col. 44, line 45; claim 1).
- 6. In claim 3, **O'Tool** discloses a switch control unit (to operate the logic of steps a, b and c of claim 1) in association with the timer for controlling the power switch to change over between a continuous power supply mode (microprocessor-on mode for communication with the on-road radio) and the intermittently driving mode (sleeping and wake up to detect signal from the on-road radio) (col. 43, line 10 through col. 44, line 45; claims 1, 6).
- 7. In claim 4, **O'Tool** discloses further the apparatus comprising: an electric field intensity detecting circuit (determine if there is a radio frequency present; col. 43, lines 23-32); and an activating circuit for activating the power switch when the electric field intensity detected is higher than a predetermined level (providing more power; switching from receiver-on mode to processor-on mode; col. 43 line 52 col. 44 line 45; claims 3, 6, 13). **Sano** discloses further an electric field intensity detecting circuit and an

Art Unit: 2636

activating circuit for activate the power switch when electric field intensity is detected higher than a predetermined level (20, fig. 3B; col. 6, lines 30-51).

In claim 11, **O'Tool** discloses the apparatus further comprise a voltage lowering detection unit and generating a low battery signal if the voltage of the battery is lower than a predetermined voltage (col. 21, line 46- col. 22, line 4).

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **O'Tool** or **Sano** [US.5,682,603] or **Tanaka** [US.6,011,483] or **Kawashima** [US. 5,495,233].

In claim 15, **O'Tool** (figs. 3-5), **Sano** (14, fig. 3B), **Tanaka** (2, drawing) or **Kawashima** (14; fig.2) discloses an apparatus comprising a power saving switch inserted in a power supply line extending between a battery and a radio and data processing unit for power saving purpose.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Tool (or Sano) in view of Froschermeier [US. 5,525,992] or Tanaka [US. 6,011,483].

In claims 8 and 9, **O'Tool** fails to disclose a manual switch to turn on/off the power supply from the battery, however a transponder having both automatic and manual switches are well known; **Froschermeier** teaches an on-vehicle DSRC

Art Unit: 2636

transponder 14 (figs. 1-2) comprising a timer controlled switch 78 (fig. 5) providing power to wake up the transponder (activate the digital ASIC 34; col. 8, line 57- col. 9 line 8) for battery saving purpose and further comprising another power switch for manually overriding the power saving feature (col. 11, lines 62-67); **Tanake** teaches a short range communication ID card comprising a battery and a plurality of switches (mechanic or electronic) being operationally controlled for battery saving purpose; in addition, a manual switch is also included to manually turn off power supply from battery (drawing; col. 4, lines 6-28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement a manual control switch as suggested by **Froschermeier** or **Tanake** to **O'Tool's** for the convenient of user to override at will the power saving feature.

11. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **O'Tool** (or **Sano**) and **Froschermeier** (or **Tanaka**) in view of **Burgess** [US. 6,031,465].

In claim 10, **O'Tool** fails to disclose a vibration detecting switch control unit for turning on/off the other power switch. **Burgess** teaches an on-vehicle DSRC apparatus with power saving feature comprising a vibration detecting switch control unit to power on/off when the vibration is at a predetermined level (col. 5, lines 21-51). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the vibration detecting switch control unit to the apparatus of **O'Tool et al** to provide addition power saving to the battery of the apparatus.

12. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Tool (or Sano) in view of Burgess.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,604 Page 6

Art Unit: 2636

In claims 12 and 13, **O'Tool** fails to disclose a solar battery and an external power source; **Burgess** teaches an on-vehicle DSRC apparatus with power saving further comprising solar cell 121 (external power source) connected to the battery 120 for charging the battery (fig. 2); connector to external power source is inherent, whether the connector is on the battery or on the external power source. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the solar battery or external power source connection to the apparatus of **O'Tool** to provide power backup to the apparatus when main battery power is low, therefore increase communication reliability.

13. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **O'Tool** (or **Sano**) in view of **Bickley** [US. 5,430,441].

In claim 14, O'Tool fails to disclose a connector to allow the battery to be removable. Bickley teaches a transponder for vehicle identification (col. 1, line 32) comprising a connector structured to allow the battery to be removable (48, 50, figs. 3-4; col. 5, lines 41-53), although the connector is shown on the transponder structure however for supplying power to the transponder, a connector or a contact must also be presented on the battery side. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement a connecting structure for the battery as taught by Bickley to O'Tool apparatus as designer choice to provide a transponder that can be use as passive or as active transponder based on user preference.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,604 Page 7

Art Unit: 2636

### Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed 8/4/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a) In response to applicant argument that O Tool's does not teach the first power switch of claims 1 and the first timer of claim 2.

O Tool's figure 3 shows a battery 18 connected to IC 16 and figure 5 shows the IC 16 comprising a wake up timer and logic 36 inserted between the power supply line and the radio transceiver with microcontroller and data processing unit. O Tool's in column 43, lines 23-28, discloses the wake up timer and logic 36 intermittently activate the receiver 30 and its data circuit by providing power to the receiver's circuit, therefore this wake up operation is operation of a power switch.

b) In response to applicant argument that Froschermeier does not show a battery provide power to the DSRC apparatus and no indication of a third power switch is on an output side of the battery.

Froschermeier's battery is stated in the abstract, power saving operation is in figure 5 and column 8 lines 57 – col. 9, line 8, and manual button switch to override the power saving feature is in col. 11, lines 62-67; the manual button switch is clearly not the automatic timer controlled switch 78 and should be at the output side of the battery for the user be able to press on. A reference of Tanaka is added which shows both electronic and manual power switches connected to the battery, therefore the use of both switches are known and cannot be considered a new invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,604 Page 8

Art Unit: 2636

c) In response to applicant argument about the vibration detecting switch control unit. It is an error by the examiner in citing the claim numbers and references; the reference of O' Tool is used to reject claim 11 instead of claim 10, and the reference of Burgess is used to reject claim 10. Correction is made in this office action.

- d) With regard to claim 12, the reference of Tuttle is withdrawn and replaced by Burgess in this office action.
- e) With regard to claim 14, Bickley discloses connector structured to allow the battery to be removable is shown is figs. 3-4 and in col. 5, lines 41-53.
- 15. This office action makes corrections for some errors in the previous office action and is non-final.

#### Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Krasner (fig. 1C) [US. 6,133,871]; Lambropoulos [US. 5,838,257]; Kephart [US. 4,950,913]; Toko [US. 5.140,698]; Maru [4,977,611].
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne V. Lai whose telephone number is 571-272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 6:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WU

AVL 10/27/05

JEFFERY HOFSASS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY GENTER 2600

Page 9